

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/674,824	LOERZ ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Shin-Lin Chen	1632

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Shin-Lin Chen. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(2) Ann-Marie Yvon. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner notifies Ms. Ann-Marie Yvon that the abstract needs to be in one paragraph and some minor language changes on claims 12, 14 and 16. Ms. Yvon agreed on those changes. Examiner will make those changes in examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)